

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,237	12/22/2003	Pazhayannur Ramanathan Subramanian	136721-1	6973
	7590 09/07/200 ECTRIC COMPANY	EXAMINER		
GLOBAL RES	EARCH	SHEEHAN, JOHN P		
PATENT DOC NISKAYUNA,	KET RM. BLDG. K1- NY 12309	4A59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		1742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/743,237	SUBRAMANIAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John P. Sheehan	1742		

	John P. Sheehan	1742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	and an analysis and the state of the state o	antad alaima						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Inpliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).					
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	novable ii odbiinicod iii a doparate,	among mod amondme	on canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>48-56</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ared but does NOT place the applic	nation in condition for	allawanaa					
11.  The request for reconsideration has been consid because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	sauon in condition for	allowance					
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)		~ 1					
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	((* 10/05/00))	John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner	elon					
	V	Art Unit: 1742						

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Application No. 10/743,237

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new lower limit of 10 volume % for the nanoparticle content of the bulk nanocomposite has never previously appeared in the claims and therefore raises new issues requiring further consideration and searching and additionally raises the issure of new matter.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are not persuasive in that they are based on the claims as set forth in applicants' proposed amendment. However, for the reason(s) set forth above, said proposed amendment has not been entered. Thus, in view of the fact that applicants' proposed amendment has not been entered applicants' arguments based on the proposed amendment are moot.

Continuation of 13. Other: applicants are correct in assuming that the rejection of claims 48 and 53 to 56 in view of Benn (US Patent No. 5,006,163) as set forth in the Final Rejection (paragraph 5) is based on 35 U.S.C. 103 and not 35 U.S.C. 102.